



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,154	02/18/2004	Robert Grossman	GRORB:66408	5022
24201	7590	01/09/2006	EXAMINER	
FULWIDER PATTON 6060 CENTER DRIVE 10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			SOTELO, JESUS D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/782,154	Applicant(s) GROSSMAN, ROBERT	
	Examiner Jesús D. Sotelo	Art Unit 3617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are in the application.

Continued Prosecution Application

2. The request filed on 12/05/05 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/782,154 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buell (5,816,620) in view of keychains for boaters of the admitted prior art and Bishop (5,785,221).

Buell discloses a card secured to a keyring. The card is disclosed as being constructed from different materials including plastic or rubber. The card is disclosed as including imprinted information. The card includes a hole for engaging a keyring. The admitted prior art discloses a plurality of keyrings for use by boaters. Most of these include a buoyant element, usually with imprinted indicia, secured to the keyring. Bishop discloses a card holder including a hole for securing a card to a retaining element. Bishop teaches providing a grommet on the retainer to improve the durability of the same.

Art Unit: 3617

In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the card of Buell from a buoyant material generally as taught by the admitted prior art, if the card is to be used in a water environment as in the case of boaters. The use of close-cell buoyant material is well known in the art and the type of buoyant material would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art. Similarly, the number of cards included in the keyring would have been an obvious matter of choice. The use of a plurality of cards secured to a keyring is well known as it is common to carry several keyring grocery store and gasoline I. D. cards on such a keyring.

The use of grommets to reinforce holes in fragile planar material is well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Bishop. A plastic card is fragile and subject to failure. Although the use of grommets in cards might not be cost effective, the use of the same is not novel as evidenced by Bishop. The type of printed information on the card is dependant on the intended use of the card. For a boater's keyring, to provided marine information on the cards would have been an obvious matter of choice to one skilled in the art.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biggs et al (4,165,890) in view of the keyrings for boaters of the admitted prior art.

Biggs et al discloses a keyring and a plurality of information cards connected to the keyring. The cards include a reinforcement grommet 4 where the keyring is inserted. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the cards of Biggs et al from a buoyant material generally as taught by the admitted prior art, if the cards are to be used in a water environment as in the case of boaters. The use

Art Unit: 3617

of close-cell buoyant material is well known in the art and the type of buoyant material would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art. The type of printed information on the card is dependant on the intended use of the card. Biggs et al provides information useful for tourists. For a boater's keyring, to provided marine information on the cards would have been an obvious matter of choice to one skilled in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's main focus in his arguments is directed to the addition to the claims regarding the "boating rules and boating information" imprinted on the cards. Although no prior art has been cited showing this feature, it is obvious that the keyring tags can be used in various applications as shown in the prior art cited in this Office action. For a keyring with tags to be used in boating circuits, it would have been an obvious matter of choice to one skilled in the art to provide marine information, which could include rules and regulations applicable to boating.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Withrow (1,505,838) discloses a keyring with an advertising tag; Voit (6,926,529) discloses a keyring with tags including educational information; Leff (4,165,890) discloses a keyring with tags providing communication aids; Zwiers (6,629,845) discloses a keyring with tags including varied information.

Art Unit: 3617

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. – Fri. 5:30 AM – 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Jesús D. Sotelo
Jesús D. Sotelo 1/4/06
Primary Examiner
Art unit 3617
KNX 03D69 ☺

jds
January 4, 2006